

2023 Continuum of Care Scoring and Ranking Policies

The Long Beach Continuum of Care believes in evaluating the performance and utilization of funding on an annual basis to determine the ranking and scoring of projects as well as determining which projects will be put into Tier 2 and which projects will potentially be considered for reallocation.

The following document provides an overview of what areas are reviewed by CoC staff for performance and how scores are determined.

Reporting Period: July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023

The following project types are exempt from the Long Beach Scoring Process. CoC Planning Grant, Unified Funding Agency (UFA) Grant, Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Grants, and Supportive Service Only (SSO) grants. The Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services is currently working with the CES subcommittee to determine a process for monitoring and determining performance for SSO grants. Long Beach is moving towards identifying a better way of scoring and prioritizing SSO grants.

Long Beach staff will be bringing forward an updated reallocation policy within the coming year to look at setting a threshold score for program types where any program falling below an identified threshold would be recommended for reallocation. Questions marked with an asterisk (*) will be measured using a three-year timescale (if possible) to limit the determinism of a single year’s worth of outcomes on a program’s score.

Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing (PH-PSH)

Total Points for all sections: 179 Points

Section 1: Project Performance (108 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1a. % of All Persons Served -OR- 1b. % of All Households Served	15.0	79.99% and below	0.0
		80% - 89.99%	7.5
		>90%	15.0
2. % of Residential Occupancy on average	15.0	79.99% and below	0.0
		80% - 89.99%	7.5
		>90%	15.0
3. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased earned income*	5.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0
		Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean	2.5
		>12% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	5.0

4. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased other income*	9.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean Within 1 standard dev. of project type mean >35% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0 4.5 9.0
5. Increase the number of persons exiting permanent housing*	15.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean >80% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0 7.5 15.0
6. Increase the number of persons remaining in permanent housing*	15.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean >90% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0 7.5 15.0
7. Reduce the number of persons exiting to unknown destinations*	20.0	>2 standard dev. above project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean <4.99% or >2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0 10.0 20.0
8. Reduce the number of persons exiting with no financial resources*	14.0	>2 standard dev. above project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean <4.99% or >2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0 7.0 14.0
Total Points Available	108.0		

Section 2: Fiscal Performance (53 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. % of awarded funds that were deobligated	15.0	15% and above 5% - 14.99% >4.99%	0.0 7.5 15.0
2. Amount of match provided at end of contract year	8.0	<25% ≥25%	0.0 8.0
3. Number of budget revisions requested after 9 months into contract year	5.0	One or more None	0.0 5.0
4. Organization currently has unresolved findings in its Single Audit	5.0	Yes, and no mmt letter Yes, but mmt letter No	0.0 2.5 5.0
5. Cost Effectiveness	20.0	\$24,000 and above or No Placements \$16,001 - \$23,999 >\$16,000	0.0 10.0 20.0
Total Points Available	53.0		

Section 3: HMIS (10 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Overall data quality – Error rate	6.0	>5% <5%	0.0 6.0
2. Report submission timeliness	4.0	0 – 19.99% 20% - 59.99% >60%	0.0 2.0 4.0
Total Points Available	10.0		

Section 4: CoC Participation (2 Bonus Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. CoC Meeting Attendance (out of 8 CoC Board Meetings)	2.0	50% - 74.99% >75%	1.0 2.0

Section 5: Racial Equity (6 Points)

When assessing racial equity goals and knowing that shifts may happen year to year staff will be assessing outcomes and services over a 3-year period.

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Program participants are reflective of the Long Beach Point In Time population	3.0	>2 standard dev. from mean	0.0
		Between 1 and 2 standard dev. from mean	1.5
		<1 standard dev. from mean	3.0
2. Exit outcomes do not have disparities by race	3.0	>2 standard dev. from mean	0.0
		Between 1 and 2 standard dev. from mean	1.5
		<1 standard dev. from mean	3.0
Total Points Available	6.0		

Permanent Housing – Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH)

Total Points for all sections: 179 Points

Section 1: Project Performance (108 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1a. % of All Persons Served -OR- 1b. % of All Households Served	20.0	79.99% and below	0.0
		80% - 89.99%	10.0
		>90%	20.0
2. % of Average Residential Occupancy on average	20.0	59.99% and below	0.0
		60% - 74.99%	10.0
		>75%	20.0
3. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased earned income*	10.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0
		Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean	5.0
		>12% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	10.0
4. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased other income*	8.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0
		Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean	4.0
		>30% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	8.0
5. Increase the number of persons exiting permanent housing*	20.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0
		Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean	10.0
		>80% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	20.0
6. Reduce the number of persons exiting to unknown destinations*	20.0	>2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0
		Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean	10.0
		<4.99% or >2 standard dev. below project type mean	20.0

7. Reduce the number of persons exiting with no financial resources*	10.0	>2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0
		Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean	5.0
		<9.99% or >2 standard dev. below project type mean	10.0
Total Points Available	108.0		

Section 2: Fiscal Performance (53 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. % of awarded funds that were deobligated	15.0	15% and above	0.0
		5% - 14.99%	7.5
		>4.99%	15.0
2. Amount of match provided at end of contract year	8.0	<25%	0.0
		≥25%	8.0
3. Number of budget revisions requested after 9 months into contract year	5.0	One or more	0.0
		None	5.0
4. Organization currently has unresolved findings in its Single Audit	5.0	Yes, and no mmt letter	0.0
		Yes, but mmt letter	2.5
		No	5.0
5. Cost Effectiveness	20.0	\$20,000 and above or No Placements	0.0
		\$12,001 - \$19,999	10.0
		>\$12,000	20.0
Total Points Available	53.0		

Section 3: HMIS (10 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Overall data quality – Error rate	6.0	>5%	0.0
		<5%	6.0
2. Report submission timeliness	4.0	0 – 19.99%	0.0
		20% - 59.99%	2.0
		>60%	4.0
Total Points Available	10.0		

Section 4: CoC Participation (2 Bonus Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. CoC Meeting Attendance (out of 8 CoC Board Meetings)	2.0	50% - 74.99%	1.0
		>75%	2.0

Section 5: Racial Equity (6 Points)

When assessing racial equity goals and knowing that shifts may happen year to year staff will be assessing outcomes and services over a 3-year period.

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Program participants are reflective of the Long Beach Point In Time population	3.0	>2 standard dev. from mean	0.0
		Between 1 and 2 standard dev. from mean	1.5
		<1 standard dev. from mean	3.0
2. Exit outcomes do not have disparities by race	3.0	>2 standard dev. from mean	0.0
		Between 1 and 2 standard dev. from mean	1.5
		<1 standard dev. from mean	3.0
Total Points Available	6.0		

Transitional Housing (TH)

Total Points for all sections: 179 Points

Section 1: Project Performance (108 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1a. % of All Persons Served -OR- 1b. % of All Households Served	20.0	79.99% and below 80% - 89.99% >90%	0.0 10.0 20.0
2. % of Average Residential Occupancy on average	20.0	59.99% and below 60% - 74.99% >75%	0.0 10.0 20.0
3. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased earned income*	10.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean >12% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0 5.0 10.0
4. % of Adults (Leavers and Stayers) who increased other income*	8.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean >30% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0 4.0 8.0
5. Increase the number of persons exiting to permanent housing*	20.0	>2 standard dev. below project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean >80% or >2 standard dev. above project type mean	0.0 10.0 20.0
6. Reduce the number of persons exiting to unknown destinations*	20.0	>2 standard dev. above project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean <4.99% or >2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0 10.0 20.0
7. Reduce the number of persons exiting with no financial resources*	10.0	>2 standard dev. above project type mean Within 2 standard dev. of project type mean <9.99% or >2 standard dev. below project type mean	0.0 5.0 10.0
Total Points Available	108.0		

Section 2: Fiscal Performance (53 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. % of awarded funds that were deobligated	15.0	15% and above 5% - 14.99% >4.99%	0.0 7.5 15.0
2. Amount of match provided at end of contract year	8.0	<25% ≥25%	0.0 8.0
3. Number of budget revisions requested after 9 months into contract year	5.0	One or more None	0.0 5.0

4. Organization currently has unresolved findings in its Single Audit	5.0	Yes, and no mmt letter Yes, but mmt letter No	0.0 2.5 5.0
5. Cost Effectiveness	20.0	\$18,000 and above or No Placements \$12,001 - \$17,999 >\$12,000	0.0 10.0 20.0
Total Points Available	53.0		

Section 3: HMIS (10 Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Overall data quality – Error rate	6.0	>5% <5%	0.0 6.0
2. Report submission timeliness	4.0	0 – 19.99% 20% - 59.99% >60%	0.0 2.0 4.0
Total Points Available	10.0		

Section 4: CoC Participation (2 Bonus Points)

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. CoC Meeting Attendance (out of 8 CoC Board Meetings)	2.0	50% - 74.99% >75%	1.0 2.0

Section 5: Racial Equity (6 Points)

When assessing racial equity goals and knowing that shifts may happen year to year staff will be assessing outcomes and services over a 3-year period.

Question	Points	Rubric	
1. Program participants are reflective of the Long Beach Point In Time population	3.0	>2 standard dev. Between 1 and 2 standard dev. <1 standard dev.	0.0 1.0 2.0
2. Exit outcomes do not have disparities by race	3.0	>2 standard dev. Between 1 and 2 standard dev. <1 standard dev.	0.0 1.0 2.0
Total Points Available	6.0		