

## Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

**The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the submission deadline stated in the Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO.**

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing – lists all new project applications applying for funding through the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside that were approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
- Rural Set Aside Project Listing – lists all new project applications applying for funding through the Rural Set Aside that were approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
- UFA Costs Project Listing – applicable and only visible for Collaborative Applicants that were designated as a Unified Funding Agency (UFA) during the FY 2022 CoC Program Registration process. Only 1 UFA Costs project application is permitted and must be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant. The UFA project must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
- CoC Planning Project Listing – Only 1 CoC planning project is permitted per CoC and must be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant. The CoC Planning project must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- All projects must be approved and ranked or rejected on the Project Listings. This includes funding for CoC Planning and UFA Costs, which must be ranked amongst projects submitted on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- If a project application(s) is rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must notify the project applicant(s) no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application deadline outside of e-snaps and include the reason for rejection.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason after ranking has been completed, the ranking of other projects will not be affected; however, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND re-rank the project application BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition)

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

### **Instructions:**

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO Competition Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness Special NOFO Competition Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

**Collaborative Applicant Name:** City of Long Beach

## Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Listing

**Instructions:**

Prior to starting the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Housing4Health (F...	2022-10-18 19:12:...	PH	City of Long Beac...	\$1,428,654	3 Years	2	RRH	
Keys to Health	2022-10-18 19:03:...	PH	City of Long Beac...	\$1,312,323	3 Years	6	PSH	
SSO Street Outreach	2022-10-18 19:26:...	SSO	City of Long Beac...	\$765,657	3 Years	3		
SSO CES Project	2022-10-18 19:20:...	SSO	City of Long Beac...	\$210,560	3 Years	5		
SSO Project Doorway	2022-10-18 18:46:...	SSO	City of Long Beac...	\$957,093	3 Years	4		
HMIS - Unsheltered	2022-10-18 18:53:...	HMIS	City of Long Beac...	\$207,745	3 Years	7		

## Rural Set Aside Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the Rural Set Aside Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Rural Set Aside Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type
This list contains no items							

## Continuum of Care (CoC) UFA Costs Project Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC UFA Costs Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide, available on HUD's website.

To upload the UFA Costs project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the UFA Costs Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one UFA Costs project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by HUD as UFA (UFA designation was determined during the FY 2021 CoC Registration process) and must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?	Rank
Unified Funding A...	2022-10-18 19:34:...	3 Years	City of Long Beac...	\$155,808	Yes	1

## Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

### Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition).

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?	Rank
Planning Project ...	2022-10-18 19:17:...	3 Years	City of Long Beac...	\$155,808	Yes	8

## Funding Summary

### Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after the you approved, ranked; or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds..

Title	Total Amount
Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	\$4,882,032
Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside - Rejected Amount	\$0
Rural Set Aside	\$0
Rural Set Aside - Rejected Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$155,808
UFA Costs Amount	\$155,808
Total CoC Request Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside	\$5,193,648
Total CoC Request Rural Set Aside	\$0
<b>TOTAL CoC REQUEST</b>	<b>\$5,193,648</b>

## Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	HUD-2991_CoC_Unsh...	10/20/2022
FY 2022 Rank Tool (optional)	No	CA-606_Local Rank...	10/18/2022
Other	No		
Priority Listing	No	FINAL CoC Project...	10/18/2022



## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** HUD-2991\_CoC\_Unsheltered\_10202022\_FINAL

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** CA-606\_Local Rank Tool

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:**

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** FINAL CoC Project Scoring\_Ranking & Priority  
List\_2022 CoC-Unsheltered

## Submission Summary

**WARNING: The FY2022 Special NOFO Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.**

**WARNING: The FY2022 Special NOFO Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.**

Page	Last Updated
<b>Before Starting</b>	No Input Required
<b>1A. Identification</b>	07/26/2022
<b>2A. Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside New Project Listing</b>	10/18/2022
<b>2B. Rural Set Aside Project Listing</b>	No Input Required
<b>2C. UFA Costs Project Listing</b>	10/18/2022
<b>2D. CoC Planning Project Listing</b>	10/18/2022
<b>Funding Summary</b>	No Input Required
<b>Attachments</b>	10/20/2022
<b>Submission Summary</b>	No Input Required

U.S. Department of Housing  
and Urban Development

Certification of Consistency Plan  
with the Consolidated Plan  
for the Continuum of Care  
Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: City of Long Beach

Project Name: See attached 2022 CoC Unsheltered Homelessness - Project Listing

Location of the Project: City of Long Beach (Geocode: 062088) - See attached Project Listing

Name of  
Certifying Jurisdiction: City of Long Beach

Certifying Official  
of the Jurisdiction Name: Thomas B. Modica

Title: City Manager

Signature: Linda J. Jabum

Date: 10/20/2022

EXECUTED PURSUANT  
TO SECTION 301 OF  
THE CITY CHARTER.

Approved as to form:  
Date: 10/18/2022

By: Charles Parkin  
For: Charles Parkin, City Attorney

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

**2022 LONG BEACH COC SPECIAL NOFO  
PROJECT PRIORITY LISTING**

Applicant Name	Agency Name	Project Name	Project Address	Component Type	Total Request (HUD 100%)
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Unified Funding Agency - Unsheltered	1301 W. 12th Street Long Beach, CA 90813	UFA	\$155,808
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Illumination Foundation	Housing4Health (Families)	1091 N. Batavia St. Orange, CA 92867	PH-RRH	\$1,428,654
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Mental Health America of Los Angeles	SSO Street Outreach	Administrative Site: 200 Pine Avenue, Suite 400, Long Beach, CA 90802	SSO-Outreach	\$765,657
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Mental Health America of Los Angeles	SSO Project Doorway	Administrative Site: 200 Pine Avenue, Suite 400, Long Beach, CA 90802	SSO-Other	\$957,093
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Mental Health America of Los Angeles	SSO CES Project	Administrative Site: 200 Pine Avenue, Suite 400, Long Beach, CA 90802	SSO-CES	\$210,560
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Keys to Health	1301 W. 12th Street Long Beach, CA 90813	PH-PSH	\$1,312,323
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	HMIS - Unsheltered	1301 W. 12th Street Long Beach, CA 90813	HMIS	\$207,745
City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Planning Project - Unsheltered	1301 W. 12th Street Long Beach, CA 90813	Planning	\$155,808
<b>CoC Special NOFO - Unsheltered Homelessness</b>					<b>\$5,193,648</b>
					<b>\$5,193,648</b>

Thank you for your participation in the Continuum of Care (CoC) RFP review process. Enclosed are guidelines to facilitate the reviewing process for the Unsheltered Homelessness NOFO proposals.

### **Funds Available:**

#### **Unsheltered Homelessness Projects for up to \$4,674,283 for any of the following project types:**

- Permanent Housing – Permanent Supportive Housing (PH-PSH) project
- Permanent Housing – Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH) project
- Joint Transitional Housing (TH) and PH-RRH project
- Supportive Services Only – Coordinated Entry (CE)
- Supportive Services Only – Street Outreach
- Supportive Services Only – Other

Note: Long Beach has received a commitment of match for funds for supportive services attached to Permanent Supportive Housing projects, as well as a commitment of 100 HCV vouchers that can be matched to people within PSH programs. This can be utilized to cover requests beyond the \$4,674,283 available through HUD.

### **Attachments:**

- Evaluation Criteria
- Scoring Sheets
- Copy of RFP
- Assigned Proposals

### **Helpful Hints:**

- Review all rating criteria before reading the proposal(s)
- Read all proposal(s) before rating and take necessary notes
- **Please provide comments for any areas that you score *Below Expectations* or *Needs Improvement***

Should you have any questions, please submit in writing your questions via email to [HomelessServicesAdmin@longbeach.gov](mailto:HomelessServicesAdmin@longbeach.gov).

**Please review and complete scoring by 8:00 am on Tuesday, September 27, 2022.** Please email completed scoring sheets to [HomelessServicesAdmin@longbeach.gov](mailto:HomelessServicesAdmin@longbeach.gov).

**RFP No. HSB-2022-002  
CITY OF LONG BEACH 2022 COC PROGRAM RFP – UNSHELTERED  
HOMELESSNESS PROJECTS  
REVIEW PANEL SCORING SHEET**

The evaluation criteria identified in the RFP will be used to rank each application submitted. Each criterion element will be rated separately. Applications may receive up to the maximum points allowed based on the response to each criterion element.

**ALL APPLICATIONS WILL BE EVALUATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:**

<b>SCORING CATEGORY</b>	<b>MAXIMUM POINTS</b>
Organizational Capacity and Experience	25
Project Design	30
Coordination and Collaboration	10
Performance	15
Commitment to Racial Equity	10
Budget and Cost Effectiveness	10
<b>TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE</b>	<b>100</b>
Bonus Points	10

**Rater #:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Agency:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Project:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instruction:** In each subsection, read each of the criteria and narrative questions carefully, and record the number of points in the Score column including the subtotal at the end of each subsection. After all subsections are scored, total up the points in the column score and record the aggregate scores in the Score Summary on the last page.

<b>ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY AND EXPERIENCE (25 maximum total points possible)</b>			
<i>Successful experience performing activities related to those listed in this RFP and the capacity to meet the performance outcomes.</i>			
<b>Narrative Questions</b>	<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Scoring Point System</b>	<b>Score</b>
<p>A</p> <p>Provide concrete examples that illustrate your agency's experience and expertise in (1) working with and addressing supportive service needs of homeless populations and (2) developing and implementing relevant program systems and services.</p> <p>Expectation: The expectation would be that the agency has at least two years of experience providing social services to vulnerable populations and has developed at least two programs in the past and are able to speak to how their programs were developed.</p>	5	5 = Exceptional 4 = Exceeds Expectations 3 = Meets Expectations 2 = Below Expectations 1 = Needs Improvement 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>			
<p>B</p> <p>Describe your agency's basic organization and management structure. Be sure to include the number of personnel involved in your organization, including employees, interns, members, and volunteers. Attachment A: Provide resumes for agency leadership/management that will be involved with this project.</p> <p>Expectation: The agency is able to identify leadership that have relevant experience. It is expected that the agency has leadership positions that distinguish roles and responsibilities. An agency that has a structure with specialized roles and the ability for people within their structure to fill any vacant role should be looked at beyond meeting the expectation.</p>	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>			
<p>C</p> <p>Describe your agency's experience in utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application?</p> <p>Expectation: The agency identifies that the agency or key leadership roles have at least 4 years of utilizing federal funding and are aware of the regulations and practices to receive federal funding.</p>	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	

<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
D	Describe changes that your organization made during the COVID-19 pandemic maintain safe and effective services to participants.  Expectation: The agency can identify at least 3 changes that their organization made during the pandemic to be able to serve participants safely and effectively. Services should have remained accessible but also accounted for ways of reducing transmission of COVID. Significant disruptions or reduction of services if identified should be considered against meeting expectations.	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
E	Funding for the subcontract will be disbursed on a cost-reimbursement basis. Describe your agency's experience and capacity to maintain operational cash-flow while reimbursements are in process.  Expectation: The agency should be able to identify how they manage cash flow for reimbursement contracts. Agencies should be able to identify cash reserve or other means by which they are able to financially sustain for at minimum 2 months while awaiting reimbursement.	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
F	Identify how many vacancies your agency currently has as well as a percentage of that in comparison to the overall positions. Describe your agencies plan for quickly recruiting and hiring staff for this program, as well as approach for retaining existing staff?  Expectation: Agencies should not have a percentage that exceeds 20% unless there is a significant new program that they just received that they are working to hire. Agencies should have at least 2 strategies for how they recruit and retain new employees that go beyond just the typical job recruitment websites.	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
<b>Subtotal Points for Organizational Capacity &amp; Experience</b>				



<b>PROJECT DESIGN (30 maximum total points possible)</b>				
<i>Demonstration of the utilization of best practices in their proposed project and the extent to which concepts of Housing First, Harm Reduction, and Trauma-Informed Care are embedded.</i>				
<b>Narrative Questions</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Scoring Point System</b>	<b>Score</b>
A	<p>Provide a description of your proposed project that addresses the Scope of Work detailed in this RFP.</p> <p>Expectation: The project should clearly describe how it addresses the basic focus of the program type they are applying for. Proposals that add additional elements on top of addressing all the basic requirements of the program should be considered higher than meeting expectations.</p>	5	5 = Exceptional 4 = Exceeds Expectations 3 = Meets Expectations 2 = Below Expectations 1 = Needs Improvement 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
B	<p>How will your agency incorporate Housing First, Harm Reduction, and Trauma-Informed Care into the project design?</p> <p>Expectation: The agency shows a basic understanding of these concepts that go somewhat beyond what's defined in the RFP. The agency should identify at least one way in which they implement this into their programs that is aligned with the definition in the RFP. In depth descriptions and multiple strategies should be considered for being higher than meeting expectations.</p>	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
C	<p>Describe your agency's staffing plan and how it will cover the service responsibilities required of this program.</p> <p>Expectation: The agency has identified all positions within the program and has a basic description of the roles and responsibility for each position so that you have a clear understanding of the major tasks of each position</p>	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				

D	<p>Describe how your agency will provide all operational needs of the program.</p> <p>Expectation: The agency is able to identify what the needs are for both direct services and administration of the program, where the program will be located, and process for ensuring more than just the program service aspects are being covered. If they identify specific roles or teams that assist in this effort that should be considered for more than meeting expectations</p>	3	<p>3 = Exceeds Expectations  2 = Meets Expectations  1 = Below Expectations  0 = Incomplete</p>	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
E	<p>How will this program specifically work to address the needs of people experiencing unsheltered homelessness?</p> <p>Expectation: The agency doesn't have to directly say that they are serving people experiencing unsheltered homelessness but should be able to speak to how their program will create opportunities for people experiencing unsheltered homelessness to move through the system. There should be at least two ways in which they are meeting needs that they can clearly describe.</p>	4	<p>4 = Exceptional  3 = Exceeds Expectations  2 = Meets Expectations  1 = Below Expectations  0 = Incomplete</p>	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
F	<p>Identify potential challenges to implementing your proposed project and explain how these challenges will be addressed.</p> <p>Expectation: The agency should be able to identify at least two potential challenges that will arise and have a plan on how they will address those. Agencies that are able to identify potential problems and demonstrate how they have worked through issues in the past and have an informed action plan should be considered for more than meeting expectations.</p>	4	<p>4 = Exceptional  3 = Exceeds Expectations  2 = Meets Expectations  1 = Below Expectations  0 = Incomplete</p>	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
G	<p>How will your program regularly receive and incorporate participant feedback?</p> <p>Expectation: The agency should be able to identify at least one mechanism of where they are receiving feedback from participants and then how that feedback is being incorporated with at least one example. Any agency that has a formal advisory board should be considered for more than meeting expectations.</p>	4	<p>4 = Exceptional  3 = Exceeds Expectations  2 = Meets Expectations  1 = Below Expectations  0 = Incomplete</p>	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				

H	<p>Describe any experience your agency has with CalAIM funded services. Detail what percentage of participants you are estimating your program would qualify for CalAIM services and how you determined that. (Bonus points will be awarded based upon this section and level of connection)</p> <p>Full bonus points should be awarded for agencies that have been approved to bill for CalAIM reimbursement and will be utilizing Medi-Cal funding to meet at least 25% of their services.</p> <p>Half bonus points should be received if they are CalAIM approved but will be billing for less than 25% of their service funds.</p> <p>Half bonus points should be received if they are in process of getting CalAIM approved but will be billing for at least 25% of their service.</p> <p>2 bonus points for those that are in process and are anticipating less than 25% of services will be billed for.</p> <p>No points if the agency has no CalAIM connections or plans</p>	10	So description for scoring guidance. This section should be scored into the bonus area at the end and not within the Project Design Score	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
I	<p>Are you requesting any services that your agency anticipates could be covered by HHIP funding? Describe those services in detail and provide an overview of how this reflects within your proposed budget.</p> <p>Expectation: If they are identifying ways to potential leverage in resources through HHIP that should be looked at positively however since this was not a requirement and there was limited information we are awarding only 1 point for agencies that did identify that they would use HHIP and it is aligned to what was identified as potential within the RFP.</p>	1	1 = Has a proposed HHIP connection 0 = No identified potential	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
J	<p>Is your program requesting HCV's as a part of your program? If yes, how many HCV's are you requesting as a part of your program?</p> <p>Expectation: If they are proposing a PSH program that is requesting a portion of their rental assistance to be covered by HCV vouchers that should be looked at positively, however was not a requirement and was specific to only one program type therefore is only being scored as 1 point for any agency identifying that they will use HCVs.</p>	1	1 = Has a proposed HCV utilization 0 = No identified potential	

<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>		
<b>Subtotal Points for Project Design</b>		
<b>Subtotal Points for Bonus Points</b>		

<b>COORDINATION AND COLLABORATION (10 maximum total points possible)</b>			
<i>The extent to which the proposal is integrated with the Continuum of Care system and evidence of past collaboration with partnering agencies.</i>			
<b>Narrative Questions</b>	<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Scoring Point System</b>	<b>Score</b>
<p><b>A</b></p> <p>Describe your agency's participation in coordinating pandemic response services for people experiencing homelessness in Long Beach or other areas of Southern California.</p> <p>Expectation: There should be a minimum of two years of experience in working through the Coordinated Entry System. Agencies with significant experience and have participated in the development and implementation of CES within regions should be scored above meeting expectations.</p>	3	3 = Exceptional 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Needs Improvement 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>			
<p><b>B</b></p> <p>Describe your agency's approach to collaboration with the Long Beach Coordinated Entry System (CES).</p> <p>Expectations: Agencies should be able to identify how they will collaborate and can speak to a basic understanding for the Long Beach systems. Agencies that are able to describe in detail their experience and how they have utilized the system and how this program will also collaborate and be a part of the system should be considered for above meets expectations.</p>	3	3 = Exceptional 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Needs Improvement 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>			
<p><b>C</b></p> <p>How will your project use healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?</p> <p>Expectations: The agency should be able to identify at least 3 healthcare resources/partners and how they will connect with those agencies. Agencies with collaborations that bring healthcare into the program and leverage those resources beyond just a referral should be considered for more than meets expectations.</p>	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>			
<b>Subtotal Points for Coordination and Collaboration</b>			

<b>PERFORMANCE (15 maximum total points possible)</b>				
Agency's previous experience utilizing an HMIS or comparable database and adhering to best practices around data management for vulnerable populations.				
<b>Narrative Questions</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Scoring Point System</b>	<b>Score</b>
A	Describe how your agency will track and evaluate the effectiveness of your project.  Expectations: The agency should be able to identify their evaluation approach and the frequency that they are looking at performance at a minimum of quarterly and how they determine if the outcomes are showing that the services are effective.	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
B	Describe what performance metrics your agency will monitor and the approach to achieving these targets. Be sure to identify tools that your agency will utilize to capture data or document that project goals are being met.  Expectations: The agency should be able to identify at least 3 metrics that they will be measuring as part of determining performance. The metrics should be related to the project description. They should identify tools that they will utilize to analyze reports and how they track towards their goals. Agencies that are looking at wider metrics and have a more sophisticated plan with analysis software should be considered for above meets expectations.	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
C	Describe your agency's experience using a Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) or comparable database system.  Expectations: The Agency should have experience utilizing HMIS and be familiar with the system. If they are not utilizing HMIS as a victim service provider they should confirm that they have ensured the system that they are utilizing meets HMIS standards.	3	3 = Has HMIS experience 1 = Has utilized data systems similar to HMIS but no HMIS experience 0 = No experience	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
D	Detail how your agency will actively work to identify and address performance concerns.  Expectations: The agency has a quality assurance plan that describes how when there are performance issues how action plans are made and how they are able to correct performance. Agencies with quality assurance roles and are able to provide in detail descriptions around how they address performance and examples should be considered for above meets expectations.	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	

<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>		
<b>Subtotal Points for Performance</b>		

<b>COMMITMENT TO RACIAL EQUITY (10 maximum total points possible)</b>				
<i>Experience working with vulnerable populations and diverse communities and demonstrated understanding of systemic racism.</i>				
<b>Narrative Questions</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Scoring Point System</b>	<b>Score</b>
A	<p>Describe your agency's experience working with and serving culturally and racially diverse populations?</p> <p>Expectation: The agency should be able to identify how they have served multiple cultures and races, and there should be at least several descriptions around this work. Agencies that speak to trainings and initiatives to improve their engagement and are able to be detailed in how they create diverse and inclusive staffing and programming should be considered for above meets expectations.</p>	3	3 = Exceptional 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Needs Improvement 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
B	<p>Describe any plan and work that your agency has undergone to improve racial equity?</p> <p>Expectations: The agency should be able to identify a plan that they have and how it relates to equity within both staffing and the people being served. Agencies that are able to identify strong goals and strategies for reducing disproportionalities of homelessness among our BIPOC community should be considered for above meets expectations.</p>	3	3 = Exceptional 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Needs Improvement 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
C	<p>How are people with lived experiences and individuals from vulnerable populations engaged in your organization's planning and decision-making processes? Describe the policies or practices in place that ensure the experiences of marginalized communities are represented in your organizational infrastructure.</p> <p>Expectation: The agency should have some way in which they are incorporating people that are being served within multiple areas of their program. They should be able to identify at least 3 policies are practices within their agency. Agency that have policies and practices that extend to staffing, leadership, and bringing peoples voices into the organization in a way that values and compensate them should be considered for above meets expectation.</p>	4	4 = Exceptional 3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
<b>Subtotal Points for Commitment to Racial Equity</b>				



<b>BUDGET AND COST EFFECTIVENESS (10 maximum total points possible)</b> <i>Feasibility, reasonableness, and optimization of the proposed budget.</i>				
<b>Narrative Questions</b>		<b>Max Points</b>	<b>Scoring Point System</b>	<b>Score</b>
A	Describe your agency's financial management structure and its capacity to administer the accounting requirements of this project.  Expectations: The agency should have a clearly identified financial structure and that process should have at least two levels that allow for accountability and checks and balances. Agencies should be able to describe the basic roles of their finance team and the work that they are doing.	3	3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
B	Describe your agency's experience in leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds.  Expectations: The agency should be able to identify at least 2 instances of leveraging resources and how they are leveraging resources to improve services. Agencies should describe their process for how they determine and pursue other grant funds to expand and improve services.	2	2 = Exceeds Expectations 1 = Meets Expectations 0 = Less than expectations	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				
C	Please describe the sources of match and how it will be utilized to enrich services within the program.  Expectations: The agency should be able at minimum to identify their match source that is at least 25% of what they are requesting. The match should be clear in how it will directly serve participants of the project and is aligned. Agencies that are demonstrating more than 30% match should be considered for above meets expectation.	3	3 = Exceeds Expectations 2 = Meets Expectations 1 = Below Expectations 0 = Incomplete	
<b>Reviewer's Comments &amp; Notes:</b>				

D	<p>Refer to the Budget and select a score based on the information provided by the applicant, taking into account its cost effectiveness and financial feasibility.</p> <p>Expectations: The agency should be identifying how many people will be served and their overall budget. The expectation is that the budget is clear in how it is going to effectively serve people and that it is reasonable. A project that is saying that it will provide housing assistance for 10 people for \$500,000 should be considered not cost effective, agencies that are saying they will serve 800 people for \$500,000 a year should also be looked at as the program not being financially feasible to provide services to that many people. Within cost effectiveness reviews should be considering match as a positive to improving the cost effectiveness of the program. This is subjective and should be considered in comparison to other proposals received and reviewed.</p>	2	<p>2 = Exceeds Expectations  1 = Meets Expectations  0 = Less than expectations</p>	
<b>Reviewer's  Comments  &amp; Notes:</b>				
<b>Subtotal Points for Budget and Cost Efficiency</b>				

## SCORE SUMMARY

SCORING CATEGORY	MAXIMUM POINTS	POINTS RECEIVED
Organizational Capacity and Experience	<b>25</b>	
Project Design	<b>30</b>	
Coordination and Collaboration	<b>10</b>	
Performance	<b>15</b>	
Commitment to Racial Equity	<b>10</b>	
Budget and Cost Efficiency	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTAL POINTS FOR AGENCY PROPOSAL</b>	<b>100</b>	
Bonus Points	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTAL POINTS + Bonus</b>	<b>110</b>	

**Additional Comments:**

**2022 LONG BEACH COC SPECIAL NOFO PROJECT PRIORITY LISTING**  
**PROJECT SCORES SUMMARY**

Rank	Agency Name	Project Name	Component Type	Project Type	Score	Total Request (HUD 100%)	Organization Capacity and Experience (out of 25)	Project Design (out of 30)	Coordination and Collaboration (out of 10)	Performance (out of 15)	Commitment to Racial Equity Subtotal (out of 10)	Budget and Cost Efficiency (out of 10)	Bonus Points (up to 10)
1	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Unified Funding Agency - Unsheltered	UFA	New	Exempt	\$155,808	Exempt - Project is beng prioritized at 1 to ensure that support is available for all new projects						
2	Illumination Foundation	Housing4Health (Families)	PH-RRH	New	84.30	\$1,428,654	20.33	22.00	8.67	12.33	7.33	8.67	5.00
3	Mental Health America of Los Angeles	SSO Street Outreach	SSO-Outreach	New	83.30	\$765,657	20.67	23.67	8.33	12.33	9.67	8.67	0.00
4	Mental Health America of Los Angeles	SSO Project Doorway	SSO-Other	New	82.70	\$957,093	19.67	23.33	8.33	13.00	9.67	8.67	0.00
5	Mental Health America of Los Angeles	SSO CES Project	SSO-CES	New	82.00	\$210,560	21.00	22.67	8.00	12.33	9.33	8.67	0.00
6	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Keys to Health	PH-PSH	New	Unscored	\$1,312,323	The project is not scored due to not being put forward to the review pannel. The project was created due to not enough proposals being submitted and wanting to ensure the CoC is expanding PSH opportunities. The UFA will work to identify an agency to operate this project or operate it directly by the City.						
7	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	HMIS - Unsheltered	HMIS	New	Exempt	\$207,745	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt
8	City of Long Beach Department of Health and Human Services	Planning Project - Unsheltered	Planning	New	Exempt	\$155,808	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt
<b>CoC Spectial NOFO - Unsheltered Homelessness</b>						<b>\$5,193,648</b>							
<b>Total CONTINUUM OF CARE APPLICATION AMOUNT</b>						<b>\$5,193,648</b>							