Attachment 10. Historic Resources Worksheet
Historic Preservation (CEST and EA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General requirements</th>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects</td>
<td>Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)</td>
<td>36 CFR 800 “Protection of Historic Properties”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/historic-preservation

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

☐ No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

Either provide the PA itself or a link to it here. Mark the applicable exemptions or include the text here:

Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

☐ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Either provide the memo itself or a link to it here. Explain and justify the other determination here:

Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

☒ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).  

Continue to Step 1.
The Section 106 Process

After determining the need to do a Section 106 review, initiate consultation with regulatory and other interested parties, identify and evaluate historic properties, assess effects of the project on properties listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, and resolve any adverse effects through project design modifications or mitigation. Note that consultation continues through all phases of the review.

Step 1: Initiate consultation
Step 2: Identify and evaluate historic properties
Step 3: Assess effects of the project on historic properties
Step 4: Resolve any adverse effects

Step 1 - Initiate Consultation

The following parties are entitled to participate in Section 106 reviews: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs); federally recognized Indian tribes/Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs); Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs); local governments; and project grantees. The general public and individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in a project may participate as consulting parties at the discretion of the RE or HUD official. Participation varies with the nature and scope of a project. Refer to HUD’s website for guidance on consultation, including the required timeframes for response. Consultation should begin early to enable full consideration of preservation options.

Use the When To Consult With Tribes checklist within Notice CPD-12-006: Process for Tribal Consultation to determine if you should invite tribes to consult on a particular project. Use the Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT) to identify tribes that may have an interest in the area where the project is located. Note that consultants may not initiate consultation with Tribes.

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):
☒ State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)
☐ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
☒ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
☐ Other Consulting Parties

List all tribes that were consulted here and their status of consultation:

Letters were sent to the following tribes on February 14, 2019.
Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians – no response
Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians – no response

☒ Other Consulting Parties

List all consulting parties that were consulted here and their status of consultation:
Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

| Consultation with SHPO is complete. SHPO had no objections with the City's findings in a letter dated September 3, 2019. |

Provide all correspondence, notices, and notes (including comments and objections received) and continue to Step 2.

**Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties**

Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or providing a map depicting the APE. Attach an additional page if necessary.

| Map is attached as Figure 1. |

Gather information about known historic properties in the APE. Historic buildings, districts and archeological sites may have been identified in local, state, and national surveys and registers, local historic districts, municipal plans, town and county histories, and local history websites. If not already listed on the National Register of Historic Places, identified properties are then evaluated to see if they are eligible for the National Register. Refer to HUD’s website for guidance on identifying and evaluating historic properties.

**In the space below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE.**

Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be listed. For each historic property or district, include the National Register status, whether the SHPO has concurred with the finding, and whether information on the site is sensitive. Attach an additional page if necessary.

| There are no historic properties within the Direct APE (project site boundaries). Within the indirect APE (immediately adjacent parcels were included), no eligible NRHP were identified. |
Provide the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination.

**Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?**
If the APE contains previously unsurveyed buildings or structures over 50 years old, or there is a likely presence of previously unsurveyed archeological sites, a survey may be necessary. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, [Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects](https://example.com).

☐ Yes → **Provide survey(s) and report(s) and continue to Step 3.**

Additional notes:

☒ No → **Continue to Step 3.**

**Step 3 - Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties**

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. ([36 CFR 800.5](https://example.com)) Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per HUD guidance.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

☒ No Historic Properties Affected

**Document reason for finding:**

☐ No historic properties present. → **Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.**

☐ Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them. → **Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.**

If consulting parties concur or fail to respond to user’s request for concurrence, project is in compliance with this section. No further review is required. If consulting parties object, refer to ([36 CFR 800.4(d)(1)](https://example.com)) and consult further to try to resolve objection(s).
☐ No Adverse Effect
Document reason for finding:

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?
☐ Yes

Check all that apply: (check all that apply)
☐ Avoidance
☐ Modification of project
☐ Other

Describe conditions here:

→ Monitor satisfactory implementation of conditions. Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.

☐ No → Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.

If consulting parties concur or fail to respond to user’s request for concurrence, project is in compliance with this section. No further review is required. If consulting parties object, refer to (36 CFR 800.5(c)(2)) and consult further to try to resolve objection(s).

☐ Adverse Effect
Document reason for finding:
Copy and paste applicable Criteria into text box with summary and justification.
Criteria of Adverse Effect: 36 CFR 800.5]
Notify the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation of the Adverse Effect and provide the documentation outlined in 36 CFR 800.11(e). The Council has 15 days to decide whether to enter the consultation (Not required for projects covered by a Programmatic Agreement).

→ Continue to Step 4.

Step 4 - Resolve Adverse Effects

Work with consulting parties to try to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to HUD guidance and 36 CFR 800.6 and 800.7.

Were the Adverse Effects resolved?

☐ Yes

Describe the resolution of Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation:

For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

→ Provide signed Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or Standard Mitigation Measures Agreement (SMMA). Continue to the Worksheet Summary.
☐ No

The project must be cancelled unless the “Head of Agency” approves it. Either provide approval from the “Head of Agency” or cancel the project at this location. Describe the failure to resolve Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and “Head of the Agency”:

Explain in detail the exact conditions or measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

⇒ Provide correspondence, comments, documentation of decision, and “Head of Agency” approval. Continue to the Worksheet Summary.
### Worksheet Summary

#### Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

---

**On December 18, 2018, the South Central Coastal Information Center was contacted to perform a record search of all previously recorded cultural resources (including archaeological sites) within ¼ mile of the Direct APE. No archaeological resources have been previously identified within the Direct APE, and only one built environment resource is present within the Indirect APE. Outside but within ¼ mile of the Indirect APE, 15 built environment resources have been previously recorded. The 15 historic-age buildings include 14 residences and 1 commercial structure. No archaeological resources have been recorded in the ¼ mile search area. The APE has been previously surveyed three times, most recently in 2014 (after demolition of all buildings on site); for this reason, a new survey of the APE was deemed unnecessary.**

**One built environment resource has been identified inside the Indirect APE. This is P-19-187653, a seven-story commercial building constructed in 1923 as Bekins Storage and currently operated as Security Storage, located at 1430 East Anaheim St. The building was recorded by Chambers Group and recommended not eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) in 2003 (Appendix D). However, it was given a status code of 4X, which indicates it may become eligible for the NRHP as contributing to a district.**

**No archaeological resources have been identified within the Direct APE. As mentioned above, the project site is situated in an area (central Long Beach) that has been heavily developed and built-up for both commercial and residential purposes for the last 70+ years based on historic aerial imagery. Additionally, various portions of the project site itself have been developed since the 1920s with commercial businesses and residences. This has resulted in considerable past ground disturbance in the Direct APE, which would have resulted in the destruction or loss of integrity of any potential buried cultural resource. Therefore, there is low to no potential for encountering intact buried cultural resources.**

**Due to the lack of identified historic properties within the APE, as well as past land use activities and ground disturbance within the Direct APE, the proposed project is expected to have no effect on historic properties. Therefore, the City recommends a finding of No Historic Properties Affected. SHPO has no objections to the City’s findings, per a letter dated September 3, 2019.**

---

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
Figure 1. Area of Potential Effect
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Refer to HUD_2019_0805_003

Mr. Scott Kinsey, AICP
Planner V
Department of Development Services
City of Long Beach
411 West Ocean Boulevard, 3rd Floor
Long Beach, CA 90802

Re: Anaheim Street & Walnut Avenue Affordable Housing Development Project,
    Long Beach, CA

Dear Mr. Kinsey:

The California State Historic Preservation Officer received your submittal for the above referenced undertaking for review and comment pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations found at 36 CFR Part 800. The regulations and advisory materials are located at www.achp.gov.

Undertaking
You have informed us that the City of Long Beach proposes to use funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Anaheim Street and Walnut Avenue Development Project. The undertaking consists of the construction of a 100-percent affordable, mixed use, multifamily housing development with 88-units of housing in a five-story apartment building, and 22,700 square feet of street level commercial space on seven vacant parcels totaling 1.54-acres.

Area of Potential Effects (APE)
The City has defined the APE as the subject parcel and all adjacent parcels. We agree that this is an adequate definition of the APE for the work associated with this undertaking.

Identification of Historic Properties
In an effort to identify potential historic properties within the APE the City obtained a records search for the project area from the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) of the CHRIS located at California State University, Fullerton. The City also obtained a Sacred Lands File search with the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) and contacted recommended tribes. A pedestrian survey of project site was conducted as well. The City’s
efforts did not identify any historic properties within the APE. Our office believes that the City made reasonable and good faith identification efforts.

Finding of Effects
The City has made a finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" for this undertaking due "to the lack of identified properties within the APE...." Pursuant to 36 CFR §800.4(d) the California Office of Historic Preservation does not object to a finding that no historic properties will be affected by the undertaking. However, the City may have additional Section 106 responsibilities under certain circumstances set forth at 36 CFR Part 800 in the event that historic properties are discovered during implementation of the undertaking your agency is required to consult further pursuant to §800.13(b).

We appreciate the City of Long Beach’s consideration of historic properties in the project planning process. If you have questions please contact Shannon Lauchner Pries, Historian II, with the Local Government & Environmental Compliance Unit at (916)445-7013 or by email at shannon.lauchner@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer